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Itti & Koch Method
Torralba Saliency Model Prediction
Images vs Egocentric data

MIT Dataset
- 1003 images
- 15 subjects
- The figure is a histogram plot of all the eye gaze data, captured using eye tracker glasses
- From the figure the center bias can be observed
- This bias is attributed to the setup of experiments where the users are placed centrally in front of screen and to the fact that human photographers tend to place objects of interest in the center of the photograph.
KTH Koostra Dataset:

- 99 images (1024x768 pixels)
- 31 subjects
- Original gaze points plotted on left, histogram of (x,y) positions on the right
- The central bias is again clearly visible
Gaze Distribution for GTEA Gaze+ dataset

There is less variance and mostly concentrated in the bottom half due to the task at hand (meal preparation)
GTEA Gaze+ dataset:
- 7 videos
- 5 subjects
- Above are histograms of the gaze locations for each subject
Above are histograms of the gaze locations for each meal preparation task.

From the plots on this slide and previous slide we can say –
- Each subject has a low variance gaze distribution (though the bias varies).
- This difference in bias for each subject shows up as higher variance for each task in the above distributions.
Region around the Gaze point

Gaze points are useful if they are reflective of the object being manipulated. Some examples of a 200x200 region around gaze point (for a given action label, across frames) clearly depict their usefulness.
*another subject, same action labels
Similar characteristic as reported in the paper are obtained for small sections of the videos (20-30 frames)
But for higher number of frames no correlation is obtained.
Conclusion: head motion tracks gaze shifts for small durations of time and thus does not perform well for gaze prediction task (as noted in the paper).
Gaze ahead of hands

Discussion & Conclusion

• Head motion and Hand position are effective descriptors for Gaze Prediction

• Gaze prediction helps in localizing the area in a frame where activity (object manipulation) is occurring

• Limited data is available in the realms of Egocentric videos with Gaze (A new dataset is EgoSum + with more diverse activities)

• The method is considerably dependent on the presence of hands in the frame (which is a limiting factor for a widespread application.

• Accuracy could possibly be further increased by incorporating the method of predicting gaze in current frame via using future frame descriptors (head motion, hand position, etc.). Better gaze predictions would lead to higher activity recognition accuracy
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