Visual Learning with Unlabeled Video and Look-Around Policies

Kristen Grauman
Department of Computer Science
University of Texas at Austin

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN

Visual recognition: significant recent progress





How do systems typically learn about objects today?

Recognition benchmarks

A “disembodied” well-curated moment in time



BSD (2001)

Caltech 101 (2004), Caltech 256 (2006)



PASCAL (2007-12)



LabelMe (2007)

ImageNet (2009)

SUN (2010)



Places (2014)

MS COCO (2014)



Visual Genome (2016)

Egocentric perceptual experience

A tangle of relevant and irrelevant
multi-sensory information

Kristen Grauman, UT Austin

Big picture goal: Embodied visual learning

Status quo:

Learn from "disembodied" bag of labeled snapshots.

On the horizon:

Visual learning in the context of acting and moving in the world.

Kristen Grauman, UT Austin

Big picture goal: Embodied visual learning

Status quo:

Learn from "disembodied" bag of labeled snapshots.

On the horizon:

Visual learning in the context of acting and moving in the world.



This talk

Towards embodied visual learning

1. Learning from unlabeled video and multiple sensory modalities
2. Learning policies for how to move for recognition and exploration

The kitten carousel experiment
[Held & Hein, 1963]



Idea: Ego-motion ↔ vision

Goal: Teach computer vision system the connection:
"how I move" ↔ "how my visual surroundings change"

Ego-motion motor signals

+

Unlabeled video

[Jayaraman & Grauman, ICCV 2015, IJCV 2017]

Ego-motion ↔ vision: view prediction

After moving:

Approach: Ego-motion equivariance

Training data

Unlabeled video + motor signals



Learn

Equivariant embedding

organized by ego-motions

$$\mathbf{z}(g\mathbf{x}) \approx M_g \mathbf{z}(\mathbf{x})$$

Pairs of frames related by similar ego-motion should be related by same feature transformation

[Jayaraman & Grauman, ICCV 2015, IJCV 2017]

Approach: Egomotion equivariance

Training data
Unlabeled video +
motor signals

Equivariant embedding
organized by egomotions



[Jayaraman & Grauman, ICCV 2015, IJCV 2017]

Example result: Recognition

Learn from ***unlabeled*** **car video** (KITTI)

Geiger et al, IJRR ’13

Exploit features for **static scene classification**

(SUN, 397 classes)

30% accuracy increase

when labeled data scarce

, CVPR ’10

Passive → complete ego-motions

Pre-recorded video



Moving around to inspect

One-shot reconstruction

Viewgrid representation

Infer unseen views



Key idea: One-shot reconstruction as a proxy task to learn semantic shape features.

One-shot reconstruction



[Snavely et al, CVPR ‘06]

Shape from many views
geometric problem



[Sinha et al, ICCV’93]

Shape from one view
semantic problem

Approach: ShapeCodes





- Implicit 3D shape representation
- No “canonical” azimuth to exploit
- Category agnostic

[Jayaraman & Grauman, arXiv 2017, ECCV 2018]

ShapeCodes for recognition



*Hadsell et al, Dimensionality reduction by learning an invariant mapping, CVPR 2005

** Masci et al, Stacked Convolutional Autoencoders for Hierarchical Feature Extraction, ICANN 2011

^Agrawal, Carreira, Malik, Learning to See by Moving, ICCV 2015

Ego-motion and implied body pose

Learn relationship between egocentric scene motion and 3D human body pose

Input:
egocentric video

Output:
sequence of 3d joint positions

[Jiang & Grauman, CVPR 2017]

Ego-motion and implied body pose

Learn relationship between egocentric scene motion and 3D human body pose

Wearable camera video **Inferred pose of camera wearer**

[Jiang & Grauman, CVPR 2017]

This talk

Towards embodied visual learning

1. Learning from unlabeled video and multiple sensory modalities
   a) Egomotion / motor signals
   b) Audio signals
2. Learning policies for how to move for recognition and exploration

Recall: Disembodied visual learning

Listening to learn



Listening to learn

Listening to learn

woof

meow

ring

clatter

Goal: A repertoire of objects and their sounds

Kristen Grauman, UT Austin

Visually-guided audio source separation



Traditional approach:

- Detect low-level correlations within a single video
- Learn from clean *single audio source* examples

[Darrell et al. 2000; Fisher et al. 2001; Rivet et al. 2007; Barzelay & Schechner 2007; Casanovas et al. 2010; Parekh et al. 2017; Pu et al. 2017; Li et al. 2017]

Learning to separate object sounds

Our idea: Leverage visual objects to learn from *unlabeled* video with *multiple* audio sources



Unlabeled video **Object sound models**

[Gao, Feris, & Grauman, arXiv 2018]

Our approach: learning

Deep multi-instance multi-label learning (MIML) to disentangle which visual objects make which sounds



Output: **Group of audio basis vectors per object class**

Our approach: inference

Given a novel video, use **discovered object sound models** to guide audio source separation.



Results: Separating object sounds

Train on 100,000 unlabeled video clips, then separate audio for novel video



Baseline: M. Spiertz, Source-filter based clustering for monaural blind source separation. International Conference on Digital Audio Effects, 2009

[Gao, Feris, & Grauman, arXiv 2018]

Results: Separating object sounds

Train on 100,000 unlabeled video clips, then separate audio for novel video

Failure case

original video
(before separation)

visual predictions:
acoustic guitar & electric guitar

Failure cases

[Gao, Feris, & Grauman, arXiv 2018]

Results: Separating object sounds

	Instrument Pair	Animal Pair	Vehicle Pair	Cross-Domain Pair
Upper-Bound	2.05	0.35	0.60	2.79
K-means Clustering	-2.85	-3.76	-2.71	-3.32
MFCC Unsupervised [65]	0.47	-0.21	-0.05	1.49
Visual Exemplar	-2.41	-4.75	-2.21	-2.28
Unmatched Bases	-2.12	-2.46	-1.99	-1.93
Gaussian Bases	-8.74	-9.12	-7.39	-8.21
Ours	**1.83**	**0.23**	**0.49**	**2.53**

Visually-aided audio source separation (SDR)

	Wooden Horse	Violin Yanni	Guitar Solo	Average
Sparse CCA (Kidron et al. [43])	4.36	5.30	5.71	5.12
JIVE (Lock et al. [50])	4.54	4.43	2.64	3.87
Audio-Visual (Pu et al. [56])	8.82	5.90	**14.1**	9.61
Ours	**12.3**	**7.88**	11.4	**10.5**

Visually-aided audio denoising (NSDR)

Train on 100K unlabeled video clips from AudioSet [Gemmeke et al. 2017]

This talk

Towards embodied visual learning

1. Learning from unlabeled video and multiple sensory modalities
2. Learning policies for how to move for recognition and exploration

Moving to recognize



Time to revisit active recognition in challenging settings!

Bajcsy 1985, Aloimonos 1988, Ballard 1991, Wilkes 1992, Dickinson 1997, Schiele & Crowley 1998, Tsotsos 2001, Denzler 2002, Soatto 2009, Ramanathan 2011, Borotschnig 2011, …

End-to-end active recognition



[Jayaraman and Grauman, ECCV 2016, PAMI 2018]

End-to-end active recognition

Look around scene



Manipulate object

Move around an object



[Jayaraman and Grauman, ECCV 2016, PAMI 2018]

End-to-end active recognition







Agents that learn to look around intelligently can recognize things faster.

[Jayaraman and Grauman, ECCV 2016, PAMI 2018]

End-to-end active recognition: example

P("Plaza courtyard"):	(6.28)	(11.95)	(68.38)
Top 3 guesses:	Restaurant Train interior Shop	Theater Restaurant Plaza courtyard	Plaza courtyard Street Theater

[Jayaraman and Grauman, ECCV 2016, PAMI 2018]

End-to-end active recognition: example

Predicted label:

T=1

T=2



T=3

GERMS dataset: Malmir et al. BMVC 2015

[Jayaraman and Grauman, ECCV 2016, PAMI 2018]

Goal: Learn to “look around”

recognition

vs.

reconnaissance

search and rescue

task predefined

task unfolds dynamically

Can we learn look-around policies for visual agents that are curiosity-driven, exploratory, and generic?

Key idea: Active observation completion

Completion objective: Learn policy for efficiently inferring (pixels of) all yet-unseen portions of environment



Agent must choose where to look *before* looking there.

Jayaraman and Grauman, CVPR 2018

Approach: Active observation completion



Non-myopic: Train to target a budget of observation time

Jayaraman and Grauman, CVPR 2018

Active "look around" results



Learned active look-around policy: quickly grasp environment independent of a specific task

*Saliency -- Harel et al, Graph based Visual Saliency, NIPS'07

Jayaraman and Grauman, CVPR 2018

Active "look around" visualization



= observed views

Agent's mental model for 360 scene evolves with actively accumulated glimpses

Jayaraman and Grauman, CVPR 2018

Active "look around" visualization





Agent's mental model for 3D object evolves with actively accumulated glimpses

Jayaraman and Grauman, CVPR 2018

Active "look around" visualization



$t = 2$



Agent's mental model for 3D object evolves with actively accumulated glimpses

Jayaraman and Grauman, CVPR 2018

Active “look around” visualization





Agent’s mental model for 3D object evolves with actively accumulated glimpses

Jayaraman and Grauman, CVPR 2018

Motion policy transfer



Plug observation completion policy in for new task

Motion policy transfer



Unsupervised exploratory policy approaches supervised task-specific policy accuracy!

Jayaraman and Grauman, CVPR 2018

Summary

- Visual learning benefits from
  - context of action and motion in the world
  - continuous unsupervised observations

- New ideas:
  - Embodied feature learning via visual and motor signals
  - Learning to separate object sound models from unlabeled video
  - Active policies for view selection and camera control

Dinesh Jayaraman

Ruohan Gao

Papers/code/videos

- **Learning to Separate Object Sounds by Watching Unlabeled Video**. R. Gao, R. Feris, and K. Grauman. In Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV), Munich, Germany, Sept 2018. (Oral) [pdf] [videos]
- **ShapeCodes: Self-Supervised Feature Learning by Lifting Views to Viewgrids**. D. Jayaraman, R. Gao, and K. Grauman. In Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV), Munich, Germany, Sept 2018. [pdf]
- **End-to-end Policy Learning for Active Visual Categorization**. D. Jayaraman and K. Grauman. To appear, Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence (PAMI), 2018. [pdf]
- **Learning to Look Around: Intelligently Exploring Unseen Environments for Unknown Tasks**. D. Jayaraman and K. Grauman. In Proceedings of IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), Salt Lake City, June 2018. [pdf] [animations]
- **Learning Image Representations Tied to Egomotion from Unlabeled Video**. D. Jayaraman and K. Grauman. International Journal of Computer Vision (IJCV), Special Issue for Best Papers of ICCV 2015, Mar 2017. [pdf] [preprint] [project page, pretrained models]